

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		INT/DISCOVERY (0 OMPLAINT NO:	CI)	
KE-INSTE	CTION (FUI) ARMS CO	JMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1150143 DATE: <u>02/23/</u>	2006 ARRIVE:		DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: WCA OF FLO	RIDA			
FACILITY LOCATION: 800	Fruitville Road			
SAF	ASOTA 34240			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JAMI	ES MCELVENNY	PHONE: (94	41)377-5370	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006	ENTITLEMENT PERI	IOD: <u>2/13/2006</u> (effective date)	/ 02/13/2011 (end date)	
		(effective date)	(end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIA	NCE STATUS (check 🗹 only on	e box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF</u>	FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABIL	<u>ITY</u>		
(check \square only <u>one</u> box)				
FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions INCLUDING those with **.)				
Subject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)				
grinding mills; facilities not subject sand & gravel plants, & crushed states	ct to subparts F (Portland Cement I tone plants w/capacities of 23 megacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 t	Plants) or I (Hot Mix A agrams/hr (25 tons/hr		

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	Yes □ No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	jies 🔲 No
affected emission point: **a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	Yes No
	Yes No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	iles 🗀 110
bin exceed 7 % percent opacity?	Yes No
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	JYes ∐ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	JYes ∐ No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	7 -
	Yes No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-20)4.800, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	ī
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
	Yes No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If]xz
	Yes No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control devi	
	Yes No
	Yes No
]Yes ∐ No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%]
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?]Yes ∐ No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	lsz
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	j≀es ∐ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	ls
in the production line?	jres ∐ No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
(check is appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
<u>Facility</u> and/or <u>Equipment</u> <u>Replacement</u> **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No **d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters? Yes No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	s □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u>, then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) <u>below</u>.)</i>	s 🗌 No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	. D No
**2) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	s 📙 No
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	, 1,0
change?	s 🗌 No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	s 🗌 No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
	s 🗌 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	s 🗌 No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check Øonly one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	□ No□ No
c) If this is a stationary facility , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ No

	V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Control of the Control o	tinued)
(0	heck ☑ appropriate box(es))	
**2	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Oc adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b)</i> , <i>below.</i>)	to
**	*a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	□Yes □ No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	
**	*b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐Yes ☐ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	☐Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	□Yes □ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	ot e
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	☐Yes ☐ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
_	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	☐Yes ☐ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	☐Yes ⊠ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	∐Yes ∐ No

PART VI: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.				
ng plant take reasonable precautions to contro	ol unconfined			
ooints?	⊠Yes □ No			
	⊠Yes □ No			
	⊠Yes ☐ No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator				
	⊠Yes ☐ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
	⊠Yes ☐ No			
	⊠Yes ∐ No			
	□Yes □ No			
	Yes ☐ No			
ule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
	⊠Yes □No			
b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?				
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or				
	⊠Yes □No			
02/23/2006				
Date of Inspection				
02/2007				
	ng plant take reasonable precautions to control d at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the points? ds, which shall include one or more of the folk k piles, and yards? pressant chemicals when necessary to control duce airborne particulate matter? breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of co contain, capture and/or vent particulate cement? ent than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or			

COMMENTS: EU 001 is defined as the crusher engine exhaust.

EU 002 will be the rest of the rock crusher, no identifiable emission point since the entire system is enclosed and operates wet. No visible emissions observed at the time of this initial compliance inspection.

Air Observations, Inc., Pamela LeBoss, was on-site to conduct the required initial Method 9 visible emissions compliance tests.